Add solid samples to the internal corrosion part of the form. A completed **Standard Inspection Report** is to be submitted to the Director within 60 days from completion of the inspection. A **Post Inspection Memorandum (PIM)** is to be completed and submitted to the Director within 30 days from the completion of the inspection, or series of inspections, and is to be filed as part of the **Standard Inspection Report.**

Inspector Report Post Inspection Memoramum Inspector/Submit Date: Peer Review/Date: Peer Review/Da
Inspector/Submit Date: POST INSPECTION MEMORANDUM (PIM) Name of Operator: Name of Unit(s): Records Location: Unit Type & Commodity: Inspection Type: OPS Representative(s): Summary: Summary: Peer Review/Date: Director Approval/Date: Unit #(s): Unit #(s): Unit #(s): Inspection Date(s): AFO Days:
POST INSPECTION MEMORANDUM (PIM) Name of Operator: OPID #: Name of Unit(s): Unit #(s): Records Location: Unit Type & Commodity: Inspection Type: Inspection Date(s): OPS Representative(s): AFO Days: Summary:
Name of Operator: Name of Unit(s): Unit #(s): Records Location: Unit Type & Commodity: Inspection Type: OPS Representative(s): Summary: Inspection Date(s): Summary:
Name of Unit(s): Records Location: Unit Type & Commodity: Inspection Type: OPS Representative(s): Summary: Inspection Date(s): AFO Days: Inspection Date(s): AFO Days:
Records Location: Unit Type & Commodity: Inspection Type: OPS Representative(s): Summary: Inspection Date(s): AFO Days: AFO Days:
Unit Type & Commodity: Inspection Type: OPS Representative(s): Summary: AFO Days:
Inspection Type: OPS Representative(s): Summary: Inspection Date(s): AFO Days:
OPS Representative(s): Summary: AFO Days:
Summary:
Findings:

Name of Operator:						
OP ID No. (1)		Unit ID No. (1)				
HQ Address:		System/Unit Name & Addr	ess: (1)			
Co. Official:		Activity Record ID No.:				
Phone No.:		Phone No.: Fax No.:				
Fax No.: Emergency Phone No.:		Emergency Phone No.:				
			DI N			
Persons Interviewed	<u> </u>	Title	Phone No.			
		+				
		+				
OPS Representative(s) (1)	Inspection	Date(s) (1)				
Company System Maps (Copies for R	Pagion Files):	Date(s)				
Company System Waps (Copies for N	Region Piles).					
Counties of Operation: (list each field Storage Field(s) Description: (list each						
Inspection Summary:						
inspection summing.						
The etteched evely	action form should be used	in conjunction with 49CFR Pa	arts 101 and 102			

 1 Information not required if included on page 1. Form-12 Gas Storage Field Review (Rev. 03/17/05 through Amdts.192-98 and 192-94 $2^{\rm nd}$ correction)

			PIPE	ТҮРЕ			
	Bare steel	Coated steel	Ineffectively Coated	Pre70-	ERW	Plastic	Other: must specify type
Footage/Mileage							
		PIPE	SPECIFICATIO	NS (2" ANI) LARG	ER)	
Diameter(s)							
Pipe Grade(s)							
Wall Thickness(s)							
Footage/Mileage							
		•	•	•		•	<u>.</u>
			WELL STI	MULATIO)N		
			ACID	IZING			
Acidizing treatments	used to stimulate	e the wells?	Yes	☐ No			
Type(s) of acids used	l in treating the w	vells:					
Type(s) of inhibitors	used with the aci	id(s):					
Frequency of the trea	atments:				Volum	ne of acid per treat	tment:
Well cleanup proced	ure following trea	atment:					
If treatment is flowed well/injection line, or the treatment will no erosion of the pipe:	riteria used to det						
			FRAC	TURING			
Fracturing treatments used to stimulate the wells?							
Type(s) of fracturing	fluids used in tre	eating the wells:					
Type(s) of inhibitors	used with the fra	acturing fluid(s):					
Frequency of the trea	atments:				Amou	nt of sand per trea	atment:
Well cleanup proced	ure following trea	atment:					
If treatment is flowed line, criteria used to onot cause internal con	determine that the	e treatment will					

GAS and LIQUID HANDLING FACILITIES					
GAS COM	IPRESSION				
Location of compressors:					
Number, Size (HP), and Date of Installation of Units:					
GAS DEH	YDRATION				
Location of dehydration units:					
Type(s) of dehydration process used:					
Number of dehydration units:	Dehydration capacity:				
GAS SWEETENING	G (Acid Gas Treating)				
Location of sweetening units:					
Type(s) of sweetening process used:					
Number of sweetening units:	Sweetening capacity:				
GAS / LIQUID	SEPARATION				
SCRUBBERS / SEPARATORS:					
Location of scrubbers/separators:					
Type(s) of scrubbers/separators used:					
Number of scrubbers/separators:	Separation capacity:				
DRIPS:					
Location of drips:					
Type(s) of drips used:					
Number of drips:					
Frequency of draining or blowing drips:					

FIELD OPERATING PARAMETERS									
		PR	ESSURES	. RATES ar	ad TEMI	PERATURES			
	Press	sure, psi		,	ow Rate, N			Tempera	ature, °F
	Injection	Withda	rawal	Injection		Withdrawal	Injed		Withdrawal
Maximum	-			<u> </u>					
Maximum							<u></u>		
Maximum Allowabl	e Operating Pressure	(Field):							
			WATEI	R, CO ₂ , and	O ₂ CO	NTENT			
	Water, lbs./M	/Mcf		CO ₂ ,		H ₂ S, ppi	m		O ₂ , %
Injection Cycle									
Withdrawal Cycle									
			DED A TI	NC AND M	- A TNITTEI	A NOT HISTOR	D X 7		
		FIELD O	PEKATI			NANCE HISTOR	K Y		
				LEAK	ζS				
Are leak surveys of	the field being condu	cted? (49 CF	R 192.706	Yes		□ No	T		
	found over the past	5 years?		☐ Yes		□ No	Number	r of leaks:	
Types of leaks that h	nave occurred?								
Cause(s) of the leaks									
Location(s) of the le	aks:								
Has a trend analysis	been performed?			Yes	☐ No				
If a trend analysis ha	as been done, what do	the results i	indicate?						
				FAILUI	RES				
Have any failures oc	ccurred over the past :	5 years?		Yes	☐ No		Number	r of failures	:
Type(s) of failures the	nat have occurred:								
Cause(s) of the failu	res:					·			
Location(s) of the fa	ilures:								
Has a trend analysis	been performed?			Yes	☐ No				
If a trend analysis ha	as been done, what do	the results i	indicate?						
			LI	NE REPLA	CEMENT	ГS			
Have any lines been	replaced over the pas	st 5 years?		Yes	☐ No		Number	r of replace	ments:
Type(s) of replacement	ents:						•		
Location(s) of the re	placements:								
Reason(s) for replace	ements:								

LINE REPAIRS

	FIELD O	PERATING AND	MAINTENANCE HISTORY	Y	
Have any lines been repaired over the	e past 5 years?	☐ Yes	☐ No	Number of rep	airs:
Type(s) of repairs:				-	
Location(s) of the repairs:					
Reason(s) for the repairs:					
		VALVE REI	PLACEMENTS		
Have any valves been replaced over	the past 5 years?	Yes	□ No	Number of rep	lacements:
Type(s) of valve replacements:					
Location(s) of the replacements:					
Reason(s) for the replacements:					
	GAS a	nd LIOUID HAND	DLING FACILITY UPSETS		
		ydration Units	Gas Sweetening Units		Separators
Number of upsets – past 3 years					
Cause(s) of the upsets:					
Has a trend analysis been performed	?	Yes	Vo		
If a trend analysis has been done, wh	nat do the results	indicate?			
	COR	ROSION CONTR	OL AND MONITORING		
			CORROSION		
Are the field piping and related stora	ge field facilities			☐ Yes	□ No
Type(s) of cathodic protection used:		Impressed Current	☐ Galvanic Anode		☐ Combination
Criteria used to determine adequate	cathodic protection	on:			
Does the field piping system contain	any bare or ineff	ectively coated pipe?	Yes	☐ No	
Location(s) of the bare or ineffective	ely coated pipe:				
Amount of bare of ineffectively coat	ed pipe:				
Are corrosion monitoring procedure	s established for t	he field piping and re	lated storage field facilities?	☐ Yes	☐ No
MONITORING					
Pipe-to-soil readings Ye		No	Exposed pipe reports	☐ Yes	☐ No
Close interval surveys Ye		No	Leak surveys	Yes	□ No
Line current surveys Ye		No	Instrumented inspection surveys	s Yes	☐ No
Remedial measures taken to mitigate	e corrosion:				

INTERNAL	CORROSION		
Are corrosion monitoring procedures established for the field piping and re-	elated storage field facilities?	☐ Yes	☐ No
MONITORING			
Corrosion coupons Yes No Gas samples Yes No Water samples Yes No Solids samples Yes No	Pipe replacement reports surveys Leak surveys Instrumental inspection surveys	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
CORROSION COUPONS			
Frequency coupons are analyzed:			
Location(s) where coupons are installed:			
GAS SAMPLES			
GAS SAMPLES Frequency of sampling:			
Location(s) where the samples taken:			
Are the gas samples analyzed for:	Amount of the following present in t	he gas:	
Carbon dioxide (CO_2) Yes \square No	Carbon dioxide (CO ₂)		
Hydrogen sulfide (H_2S) Yes \square No	Hydrogen sulfide (H ₂ S)		
Oxygen (O_2) Yes \square No	Oxygen (O ₂)		
Water vapor	Water vapor		
What carbon dioxide (CO ₂) partial pressure criteria are used to establish ca	rbon dioxide (CO ₂) corrosivity ranges?		
What is the carbon dioxide (CO ₂) corrosivity ranges?			
What is the carbon dioxide (CO ₂) partial pressure?			
WATER/LIQUIDS SAMPLES			
Frequency of sampling:			
Locations where the samples are taken:			
What constituents are the water samples analyzed for? (Refer to the Water	er Analysis Checklist)		
Concentration of the following present in water:	Amount of the following gases disso	lved in the water:	
Iron (Fe ⁺⁺)	Carbon dioxide (CO ₂)		
Manganese (Mn ⁺⁺)	Hydrogen sulfide (H _s S)		
Chlorides (Cl ⁻)	Oxygen (O ₂)		
Sulfates (SO ₄ ⁼)			
Is the pH of the water below 6.8?			
Is hydrostatic test water sampled for the presence of bacteria?	☐ No		

INTERNAL CORROSION
Are liquids tested for evidence of excessive glycol in the pipeline, which if deteriorated, could lower the pH?
SOLIDS SAMPLES (collected at pig receivers)
Frequency of sampling:
Locations where the samples taken:
Are solids observed and/or tested for the following components?
Iron Oxide ☐ Yes ☐ No Scales ☐ Yes ☐ No
Iron Sulfide Yes No Sand Yes No
Is the volume of solids increasing or decreasing between pig runs?
Comments:
DIGERVIA ENVIRED DIGERCATION CHIRATENA
INSTRUMENTED INSPECTION SURVEYS
Frequency surveys are conducted:
Lines that have been surveyed and when the survey was conducted:
INHIBITOR PROGRAM
Has a corrosion inhibitor program been established for the field piping and related storage field facilities?
When did the program start?
Type(s) of treatment method used: Batch Continuous
Type(s) of inhibitors used:
Are liquid samples periodically taken to test for residual corrosion inhibitor, to help determine effectiveness?
MAINTENANCE PIGGING (See also solids and water sampling, inhibitor sections)
Does operator have a maintenance pigging program designed to sweep the lines of sediments and/or scale?
Does operator adhere to the pigging program?
Comments:

CONTROLLING GAS VELOCITY - INTERNAL CORROSION AND EROSION							
Have target flow rates been determined for the field piping system?	☐ Yes	☐ No					
Are injection/withdrawal flow rates kept within the targeted flow rates, to m Yes No	inimize sediment and water build-up, and	l to manage erosi	ion?				
Has erosion been observed during replacement of components (lines, valves	, fittings, etc.)?	s \square	No				
Locations where erosion has been found:							
Remedial measures taken to mitigate erosion:							
ATMOSPHERIO	C CORROSION						
Are corrosion monitoring procedures established for the field piping and rela	ated storage field facilities?	Yes	☐ No				
Location(s) where corrosion has been found:							
Remedial measures taken to mitigate corrosion:							
SAFETY DEVICE	S and SYSTEMS						
SURFACE I	FACILITIES	,					
Has a system safety analysis of the field piping and related storage facilities	been performed:	Yes	☐ No				
Has a safety analysis function evaluation chart for the field piping and relate	ed storage field facilities been prepared?	Yes	☐ No				
PRESSURE SAFETY DEVICES:							
COMPRESSORS							
Is each compressor, per 49 CFR 192.169, equipped with pressure safety dev	ices for overpressure protection?	Yes	☐ No				
Pressure protection provided by:	Location of pressure safety devices:						
Primary	Primary						
Secondary	Secondary						
PRESSURE VESSELS							
Is the working pressure of each pressure vessel (dehydrator, scrubber, etc.) g	greater than the MAOP?	Yes	☐ No				
Is each pressure vessel equipped with pressure safety devices for overpressu	re protection?	Yes	☐ No				
Pressure protection provided by:	Location of pressure safety devices:						
Primary	Primary						
Secondary	Secondary						
HEADERS, LATERALS and WELL LINES							
injection/withdrawal flow rates kept within the targeted flow rates, to minimize sediment and water build-up, and to manage erosion? rerosion been observed during replacement of components (lines, valves, fittings, etc.)?							

SURFACE	FACILITIES		
Pressure protection provided by:	Location of pressure safety devices:		
Primary	Primary		
Secondary	Secondary		
GAS DETECTION SAFETY DEVICES:			
Is each compressor, per 49 CFR 192.736, building equipped with gas detect	ction safety devices?	Yes	☐ No
Are other buildings that contain gas handling equipment equipped with gas	detection safety devices?	Yes	☐ No
Type(s) of gas detection safety devices: Combustible gas (L.E.L.)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (H ₂ S)	Other:	
Type(s) of alarms used to notify personnel to the presence of gas:	☐ Visual ☐ Audible	☐ Combination	'n
FIRE DETECTION SAFETY DEVICES:			
Is each compressor building equipped with fire detection safety devices?		Yes	☐ No
Are other buildings that contain gas handling equipment equipped with fire	e detection safety devices:	Yes	☐ No
Type(s) of fire detection safety devices: ☐ Flame ☐ Heat ☐ Smoke ☐ Other:	☐ Fusible Material		
Type(s) of alarms used to notify personnel to the presence of fire: Usual Audible Combination	on		
EMERGENCY SHUTDOWN SYSTEM:			
Is each compressor station, per 49 CFR 192.167, equipped with a remote of	ontrolled emergency shutdown system?	Yes	☐ No
Does the gas detection system activate the compressor station emergency s	hutdown system?	Yes	☐ No
Does the fire detection system activate the compressor station emergency s	shutdown system?	Yes	☐ No
W	ELLS		
Is each well equipped with a well storage safety valve?	☐ Yes ☐ No		
If not, are there plans to equip each well with a well storage safety valve?	☐ Yes ☐ No		
Reasons why wells should not be equipped with well storage safety valve(s)?		

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS						

WATER ANALYSIS CHECKLISTS

Constitu	ent		Operator for	Operator's Constituent				Does O _I test fo	Operator's	
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		Yes	No	"threshold"				Yes	No	"threshold"
Sodium	Na ⁺				Chloride	Cl				
Potassium	K ⁺				Sulfate	SO ₄ =				
Calcium	Ca ⁺⁺				Carbonate	CO ₃ =				
Magnesium	Mg^{++}				Bicarbonate	HCO ₃ -				
Iron	Fe ⁺⁺				Hydroxide	OH ⁻				
Barium	Ba ⁺⁺				Dissolved Oxygen	O ₂				
Strontium	Sr ⁺⁺				Dissolved Carbon Dioxide	CO ₂				
Manganese	Mn ⁺⁺				Dissolved Hydrogen Sulfide	H ₂ S				

Other	Does Operator test for		Operator's "threshold"	Other	Does Operator test for		Operator's "threshold"
	Yes	No	tili esilolu		Yes	No	tiii esiioid
Acidity				Alkalinity			
pН				Salinity			
Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)				Acid-producing Bacteria			
Sulfate-reducing Bacteria							

Excessive values of the above-listed constituents and properties, dependent upon operating conditions and other factors that may be unique to the storage field, could indicate a corrosive condition in the pipeline.

	PIPELINE INSPECTION (Field)					
.179	Valve Protection from Tampering or Damage					
.463	Cathodic Protection					
.465	Rectifiers					
.479	Pipeline Components Exposed to the Atmosphere					
.605	Knowledge of Operating Personnel					
.707	ROW Markers, Road and Railroad Crossings					
.719	Pre-pressure Tested Pipe (Markings and Inventory)					
.739	Pressure Limiting and Regulating Devices (Mechanical)					
.743	Pressure Limiting and Regulating Devices (Capacities)					
.745	Valve Maintenance					
.751	Warning Signs					
.801809	Operator qualification questions – See Attachment 2.					

Comments:		
	COMPRESSOR STATIONS INSPECTION (Field) (Note: Facilities may be "Grandfathered")	S U N/AN/O

COMPRESSOR STATIONS INSPECTION (Field)				N/A	NI/
	(Note: Facilities may be "Grandfathered")				17/0
.163 (c)	Main operating floor must have (at least) two (2) separate and unobstructed exits				
	Door latch must open from inside without a key				
	Doors must swing outward				
(d)	Each fence around a compressor station must have (at least) 2 gates or other facilities for emergency exit				
	Each gate located within 200 ft of any compressor plant building must open outward				
	When occupied, the door must be opened from the inside without a key				
(e)	Does the equipment and wiring within compressor stations conform to the National Electric Code , ANSI/NFPA 70?				
.165(a)	If applicable, are there liquid separator(s) on the intake to the compressors?				
.165(b)	Do the liquid separators have a manual means of removing liquids?				
	If slugs of liquid could be carried into the compressors, are there automatic dumps on the separators, Automatic compressor shutdown devices, or high liquid level alarms?				
.167(a)	ESD system must:				
	- Discharge blowdown gas to a safe location				
	- Block and blowdown the gas in the station				
	- Shut down gas compressing equipment, gas fires, electrical facilities in compressor building and near gas headers				
	- Maintain necessary electrical circuits for emergency lighting and circuits needed to protect equipment from damage				
	ESD system must be operable from at least two locations, each of which is:				
	- Outside the gas area of the station				
	- Not more than 500 feet from the limits of the station				
	- ESD switches near emergency exits?				
.167 (b)	For stations supplying gas directly to distribution systems, is the ESD system configured so that the LDC will not be shut down if the ESD is activated?				

Form-12 Gas Storage Field Review (Rev. 03/17/05 through Amdts.192-98 and 192-94 2nd correction)

	COMPRESSOR STATIONS INSPECTION (Field)	S	TT	N/AN/
	The state of the s			
.167(c)	Are ESDs on platforms designed to actuate automatically by			
	- For unattended compressor stations, when:			
	• The gas pressure equals MAOP plus 15%?			
	An uncontrolled fire occurs on the platform?			
	- For compressor station in a building, when		•	•
	An uncontrolled fire occurs in the building?			
	• Gas in air reaches 50% or more of LEL in a building with a source of ignition (facility conforming to NEC Class 1, Group D is not a source of ignition)?			
.171(a)	Does the compressor station have adequate fire protection facilities? If fire pumps are used, they must not be affected by the ESD system.			
(b)	Do the compressor station prime movers (other than electrical movers) have over-speed shutdown?			
(c)	Do the compressor units alarm or shutdown in the event of inadequate cooling or lubrication of the unit(s)?			
(d)	Are the gas compressor units equipped to automatically stop fuel flow and vent the engine if the engine is stopped for any reason?			
(e)	Are the mufflers equipped with vents to vent any trapped gas?			
.173	Is each compressor station building adequately ventilated?			
.457	Is all buried piping cathodically protected?			
.481	Atmospheric corrosion of aboveground facilities			
.603	Does the operator have procedures for the start-up and shut-down of the station and/or compressor units?			
	Are facility maps current/up-to-date?			
.615	Emergency Plan for the station on site?			
.619	Review pressure recording charts and/or SCADA			
.707	Markers			
.731	Overpressure protection – reliefs or shutdowns			
.735	Are combustible materials in quantities exceeding normal daily usage, stored a safe distance from the compressor building?			
	Are aboveground oil or gasoline storage tanks protected in accordance with NFPA standard No. 30?			
.736	Gas detection – location			

Comments:			

	REPORTING RECORDS					
191.5	191.5 Telephonic reports to NRC (800-424-8802)					
191.15	Written incident reports; supplemental incident reports (DOT Form RSPA F 7100.2)					
191.17 (a)	Annual Report (DOT Form RSPA F 7100.2-1)					
191.23	Safety related condition reports					
192.727 (g)	Abandoned facilities offshore, onshore crossing commercially navigable waterways reports					

	CONSTRUCTION RECORDS	\$ S	U	N/A	N/C
.225	Test Results to Qualify Welding Procedures				
.227	Welder Qualification				
.241 (a)	Visual Weld Inspector Training/Experience				
.243 (b)(2)	Nondestructive Technician Qualification				
(c)	NDT procedures				
(f)	Total Number of Girth Welds				
(f)	Number of Welds Inspected by NDT				
(f)	Number of Welds Rejected				
(f)	Disposition of each Weld Rejected				
.303	Construction Specifications				
.325	Underground Clearance				
.327	Amount, Location, Cover of each Size of Pipe Installed				
.455	Cathodic Protection				
	·				_
	OPERATIONS and MAINTENANCE RECORDS	S	U	N/A	N/C

	OPERATIONS and MAINTENANCE RECORDS				N/A	N/(
.603(b)	.605(a)	Procedural Manual Review – Operations and Maintenance (1 per yr/15 months)				
.603(b)	.605(c)	Abnormal Operations				
.603(b)	.605(b)(3)	Availability of construction records, maps, operating history to operating personnel				
.603(b)	.605(b)(8)	Periodic review of personnel work – effectiveness of normal O&M procedures				
.603(b)	.605(c)(4)	Periodic review of personnel work – effectiveness of abnormal operation procedures				
.709	.614	Damage Prevention (Miscellaneous)				
.709	.609	Class Location Study (If Applicable)				
.603(b)	.615(b)(1)	Location Specific Emergency Plan				
.603(b)	.615(b)(2)	Emergency Procedure training, verify effectiveness of training				
.603(b)	.615(b)(3)	Employee Emergency activity review, determine if procedures were followed.				
.603(b)	.615(c)	Liaison Program with Public Officials				
.603(b)	.616	Public Education				
.517		Pressure Testing				
.709	.619	Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure (MAOP)				
.709	.625	Odorization of Gas				
.709	.705	Patrolling (Refer to Table Below)				

Class Location	At Highway and Railroad Crossings	At All Other Places
1 and 2	2/yr (7½ months)	1/yr (15 months)
3	4/yr (4½ months)	2/yr (7½ months)
4	$4/yr$ ($4\frac{1}{2}$ months)	$4/yr$ ($4\frac{1}{2}$ months)

.709	.706	Leak Surveys (Refer to Table Below)
./09	1.700	Leak Surveys (Refer to Table Delow)

Class Location	Required	Not Exceed
1 and 2	1/yr	15 months
3	2/yr	7½ months
4	4/yr	4½ months
	·	

.603b/.727g	.727	Abandoned Pipelines; Underwater Facility Reports		
-				

		OPERATIONS and MAINTENANCE RECORDS	S	U	N/A	N/C
.709	.731(a)	Compressor Station Relief Devices (1 per yr/15 months)				
.709	.731(c)	Compressor Station Emergency Shutdown (1 per yr/15 months)				
.709	.736(c)	Compressor Stations – Detection and Alarms (Performance Test)				
.709	.739	Pressure Limiting and Regulating Stations (1 per yr/15 months)				
.709	.743	Pressure Limiting and Regulator Stations – Capacity (1 per yr/15 months)				
.709	.745	Valve Maintenance (1 per yr/15 months)				
.709	.749	Vault Maintenance (≥200 cubic feet)(1 per yr/15 months)				
.603(b)	.751	Prevention of Accidental Ignition (hot work permits)				
.603(b)	.225(b)	Welding – Procedure				
.603(b)	.227/.229	Welding – Welder Qualification				
.603(b)	.243(b)(2)	NDT – NDT Personnel Qualification				
.709	.243(f)	NDT Records (Pipeline Life)				
.709		Repair: pipe (Pipeline Life); Other than pipe (5 years)				

		CORROSION CONTROL RECORDS	S	U	N/A	N/C
.491	.491(a)	Maps or Records				
.491	.459	Examination of Buried Pipe when Exposed				
.491	.465(a)	Annual Pipe-to-soil Monitoring (1 per yr/15 months)				
.491	.465(b)	Rectifier Monitoring (6 per yr/2½ months)				
.491	.465(c)	Interference Bond Monitoring – Critical (6 per yr/2½ months)				
.491	.465(c)	Interference Bond Monitoring – Non-critical (1 per yr/15 months)				
.491	.465(d)	Prompt Remedial Actions				
.491	.465(e)	Unprotected Pipeline Surveys, CP active corrosion areas (1 per 3 cal yr/39 months)				
.491	.467	Electrical Isolation (Including Casings)				
.491	.469	Test Stations – Sufficient Number				
.491	.471	Test Lead Maintenance				
.491	.473	Interference Currents				
.491	.475(a)	Internal Corrosion; Corrosive Gas Investigation				
.491	.475(b)	Internal Corrosion; Internal Surface Inspection; Pipe Replacement				
.491	.477	Internal Corrosion Control Coupon Monitoring (2 per yr/7½ months)				
.491	.481	Atmospheric Corrosion Control Monitoring (1 per 3 cal yr/39 months onshore; 1 per yr/15 months offshore)				
.491	.483/.485	Remedial: Replaced or Repaired Pipe; coated and protected; corrosion evaluation and actions				

Comments:			

Attachment 1

Internal Corrosion Worksheet – Natural Gas Pipelines

If an item is found to be unsatisfactory, an explanation must be included in this report.

NOTE: Refer to OPS Enforcement Manual, Code Compliance Guidelines PART 192, SUBPART I: CORROSION CONTROL for Internal

Corrosion Are internal corrosion control procedures established? Y _____ N _____ 1. Is gas quality analysis done on a periodic basis for O₂, H₂O, H₂S, and CO₂? Y _____N 2. 3. Does operator inject corrosion inhibitor to mitigate internal corrosion? Y _____ N _____ Each coupon utilized or other means of monitoring internal corrosion must be checked two times each calendar year, but with interval not 4. exceeding 7½ months. Y _____ N____ Does operator control internal corrosion effects caused by water by dehydration and water-soluble inhibitors? Y _____ N _____ 5. Does the operator pig their pipelines to remove any water or sludge buildups (sample analysis should be performed)? Y _____ N ____ 6. Whenever pipe is removed (including coupons removed during hot taps), is it examined for evidence of internal corrosion? Y _____ N _____ 7. Does the operator track internal corrosion and take corrective action to prevent recurrence? Y ______N _____ 8. 9. Which method does the operator utilize to determine the effectiveness of its corrosion inhibition program? ____ Gas and Fluid Analysis Rates of pipeline corrosion as determined by coupons Solids removed from the system ___ Analysis of inhibitor samples from the pipeline __ Magnetic and electronic device (pigs) ___ Other Is the inhibitor compatible with the product being transported? Y _____ N ____ N/A ____ 10.

Is gas containing more than 0.25 grain of H₂S per 100 standard cubic feet being stored in pipe-type or bottle-type holders?

Does the operator specify the frequency in how often the fluids are removed? Y _____ N _____

Comments:		

Does the operator address fluid accumulation in unpig-able lines (i.e., fluid samples, coupons, etc.)? Y _____ N ____ N/A ____

Does the operator analyze water samples relating to corrosion activity at drips downstream of compressor stations, dehydration, and/or gas

Has the operator identified low points throughout their system where fluids are likely to accumulate and does the operator identify how to remove

11.

12.

13.

14.

Y _____ N ____ N/A ____

processing plants? Y _____ N ____ N/A ____

the fluids from the lines? Y _____ N ___

Attachment 2 Operator Qualification Worksheet For any item below checked N, an explanation must be included in this report.

The following questions are to be used by the inspector to provide information in determining a need for a more intensive OQ field inspection.

1.	Do the supervisors know what actions to take, as required by the operator's OQ program, when an individual's performance of a covered task may have contributed to an incident? Y N
2.	Do the supervisors know what actions to take, as required by the operator's OQ program, when an individual is identified who may no longer be qualified to perform a covered task? Y N
3.	Do the individuals performing covered tasks know how to recognize and react to abnormal operating conditions (AOCs) that may be encountered while performing tasks? Y $____$ N $____$
4.	Are the employee and/or contractor individuals observed performing covered tasks qualified per OQ program requirements? (Documentation may be a hardcopies or database records available at the job site or local office.) Y N
5.	Are the individuals who are observed performing covered tasks adhering to operator's procedures? Y N
Com	nments: